


Performance Measurement and Analysis in Computer Architecture

Quantitative Computer Architecture

How to measure, analyze, and specify computer system performance

or

“My computer is faster than your computer!”



CSE 240A

Dean Tullsen

CSE 240A

Dean Tullsen

What is Performance?

- Execution Time?
- Throughput?
- Of What?
- What is relative performance? How is it specified?

How to measure Execution Time?

```
% time program
... program results ...
160.7u 19.9s 4:15 71%
%
```

- Wall-clock time?
- user CPU time?
- user + kernel CPU time?
- Answer:

CSE 240A

Dean Tullsen

CSE 240A

Dean Tullsen

Relative Performance

- can be confusing
 - A runs in 12 seconds
 - B runs in 20 seconds
 - $A/B = .6$, so A is 40% faster, or 1.4X faster, or B is 40% slower
 - $B/A = 1.67$, so A is 67% faster, or 1.67X faster, or B is 67% slower
- needs a precise definition

Relative Performance, the Definition

Speedup (of x over y)

$$\text{Relative Performance} = \frac{\text{Performance}_x}{\text{Performance}_y} = \frac{\text{Execution Time}_y}{\text{Execution Time}_x} = n$$

- We can remove all ambiguity by always constraining n to be $> 1 \Rightarrow$ machine x is n times faster than y.

Examples

- your program runs in 5 minutes on a Pentium III, but 2 minutes on a Pentium 4 processor. How much faster is the Pentium 4 processor?
- another program runs in 10 minutes with the standard compiler, but when recompiled with a new compiler, the program runs in 9 minutes. How much faster is the new compiled program (what is the speedup)?

How to Specify Performance, in summary

- Performance only has meaning in the context of a program or workload (MIPS, MFLOPS???)
- When talking about the performance of a single machine, we talk about “response time” or “throughput.”
- When talking about relative performance, we will say “machine x is n times faster than machine y” based on the ratio of their execution times for a workload.

But What Workload?

- Synthetic workloads
 - whetstone, dhrystone, ...
- toy benchmarks
 - puzzle, quicksort, sieve, ...
- kernels
 - livermore loops, linpack
- real programs

To maximize their efforts, architects will attempt to mirror the decision process of the market. When the market uses poor measurement methodology, we can get poor architectures!


SPEC: System Performance Evaluation Cooperative

- First Round 1989
 - 10 programs yielding a single number
- Second Round 1992
 - SpecInt92 (6 integer programs) and SpecFP92 (14 floating point programs)
 - Compiler Flags unlimited.
- Third Round 1995
 - Single flag setting for all programs; new set of programs
- Fourth Round, 2000
 - More complex programs, larger data sets
- Fifth Round, 2006
 - Longer running time, some larger data sets, more application areas

SPEC combines real programs with enforced measurement standards.

SPEC First Round

- One program: 99% of time in single line of code
- New front-end compiler could improve dramatically



How to Summarize Performance

- Real workloads typically involve multiple programs, and thus, multiple results.
- Popular benchmarks (e.g., SPEC, livermore loops, ...) involve multiple programs.
- Everyone wants to summarize results with a single number.
- But the summarized result can be dramatically skewed by the method used to combine them.

How to Summarize Performance

	Computer A	Computer B	Computer C
Program 1	1	10	20
Program 2	1000	100	20
Total time	1001	110	40

Which machine is fastest?

How to Summarize Performance

- Arithmetic Mean

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Time_i$$

- Weighted Arithmetic Mean

$$\sum_{i=1}^n Time_i * Weight_i \quad \text{where the sum of the weights is 1.}$$

- Geometric Mean

$$\sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^n ExecutionTime_i} = \frac{\sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^n ExecutionTime_i}}{ExecutionTime_{base}}$$

- Harmonic Mean

$$\frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{Rate_i}}$$

Summarizing Performance

	A	B	C	W(1)	W(2)	W(3)
Program 1	1	10	20	.5	.909	.999
Program 2	1000	100	20	.5	.091	.001
AM/W(1)	500.5	55	20			
AM/W(2)	91.82	18.18	20			
AM/W(3)	2	10.09	20			
GM	31.6	31.6	20			


which machine is fastest now?

Summarizing Performance

- Even the unweighted arithmetic mean implies a weighting
- Geometric mean does not necessarily predict execution time for any mix of the programs
- ratios of geometric means never change (regardless of which machine is used as the base), and always give equal weight to all benchmarks
- To give unequal weight requires weighted arithmetic mean

Analyzing Performance

- That was all about measuring performance. What tools do we use to analyze (predict) performance in the absence of something to measure?
 - models, equations, queueing theory, mean value analysis, instruction-level simulation, gate-level simulation, ...



CSE 240A

Dean Tullsen

Speedup (due to architectural change)

- Speedup is just relative performance on the same machine with something changed.
- From before, then:

$$\text{speedup} = \text{relative performance} = \frac{\text{ET for entire task without change}}{\text{ET for entire task with change}}$$

Suppose the change only affects part of execution time...

CSE 240A

Dean Tullsen

Amdahl's Law

The impact of a performance improvement is limited by the percent of execution time affected by the improvement

$$\text{Execution time after improvement} = \frac{\text{Execution Time Affected}}{\text{Amount of Improvement}} + \text{Execution Time Unaffected}$$

Make the common case fast!!

CSE 240A

Dean Tullsen

Examples

- program A runs for 30 seconds, but 5 seconds of that time is just waiting for memory. If we double the speed of the memory subsystem, what is the speedup?
- fp instructions account for 10% of execution time of program B. Should we double the speed of the fp instructions, or speed up integer by 20%?
- How much do we need to speed up the memory to get a 20% improvement in program A?

CSE 240A

Dean Tullsen

What is Time?

$$\begin{aligned}\text{CPU Execution Time} &= \text{CPU clock cycles} * \text{Clock cycle time} \\ &= \text{CPU clock cycles} / \text{Clock rate}\end{aligned}$$

Every conventional processor has a clock with an associated clock cycle time or clock rate.

Every program runs in an integral number of clock cycles.

GHz = billions of cycles/second

X GHz = 1/X nanoseconds cycle time

How many clock cycles?

$$\text{Number of CPU cycles} = \text{Instructions executed} * \text{Average Clock Cycles per Instruction (CPI)}$$

or

$$\text{CPI} = \text{CPU clock cycles} / \text{Instruction count}$$

All Together Now

$$\text{CPU Execution Time} = \text{Instruction Count} \times \text{CPI} \times \text{Clock Cycle Time}$$

The diagram shows the equation above with arrows pointing from each term to its unit: 'seconds' for CPU Execution Time, 'instructions' for Instruction Count, 'cycles/instruction' for CPI, and 'seconds/cycle' for Clock Cycle Time.

Examples

- 1 GHz processor, program runs in 30 seconds, executing 10 billion instructions: CPI = ??
- If we reduce CPI to 2.4, ET = ??
- new compiler reduces IC to 8 billion, but increases CPI to 2.6: good or bad?

- 1 GHz pentium 4 has CPI of .9, 2 GHz pentium 4 has a CPI of 1.1 (why?): What's the speedup for that workload?

Who Affects Performance?

$$\text{CPU Execution Time} = \text{Instruction Count} \times \text{CPI} \times \text{Clock Cycle Time}$$

- programmer
- compiler
- instruction-set architect
- machine architect
- hardware designer
- materials scientist/physicist/silicon engineer

What Affects Performance?

$$\text{CPU Execution Time} = \text{Instruction Count} \times \text{CPI} \times \text{Clock Cycle Time}$$

- pipelining
- superpipelining
- cache
- from CISC to RISC
- superscalar

Key Points

- We need to be precise about how to specify performance.
- Performance is only meaningful in the context of a workload.
- Be careful how you summarize performance.
- Amdahl's law
- $ET = IC * CPI * CT$